



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1644  
RECEIVED

In re Patent Application of

WOLPE et al.

Appln. No. 08/832,443

Filed: April 3, 1997

FOR: INHIBITOR AND STIMULATOR OF STEM CELL PROLIFERATION AND USES  
THEREOF

Atty. Ref.: 1331-222

Group Art Unit: 1644 TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Examiner: M. Tung

OCT 16 2001

\* \* \*

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE

October 12, 2001

Hon. Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

Further to the Amendment filed under 37 CFR §1.111 on October 3, 2001, entry and consideration of the following remarks are respectfully requested.

In the paragraph under the heading "Nonstatutory Double Patenting" on page 9 of the Amendment, the last sentence is incomplete. The statement should have been "Applicants traverse for the reasons discussed above with respect to Kozlov et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,022,848) and Tsyrova et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,939,391) as applied in the Section 102(e) rejections." Thus, Applicants intended that their reasons for why the pending claims were not anticipated by the cited patents under Section 102 should be repeated as arguments against the double patenting rejection.

Respectfully submitted,

**NIXON & VANDERHYTE P.C.**

By: 

Gary R. Tanigawa  
Reg. No. 43180

1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor  
Arlington, VA 22201-4714  
Telephone: (703) 816-4000  
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100